

### REMARKS

In the Office Action of July 7, 2009, the Examiner rejected the specification and abstract under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as not being in full, clear, concise and exact terms. This rejection was traversed in Applicant's Amendment B of October 7, 2009. In Applicant's Amendment B, it was explained that although Applicant is traversing the rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants would prepare and submit an amendment to the specification and abstract to amend the objected to term "oddly multiplied  $\frac{1}{4}$  wavelength" and to correct grammatical errors.

Submitted herewith is a substitute specification, including the abstract, along with a marked-up copy of the specification identifying all of the amendments made in the substitute specification. No new matter is being added by this amendment. Accordingly, it is requested that this amendment be entered and this rejection be withdrawn.

### Conclusion


It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any fee should be due for this amendment, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Date: *November 11, 2009*

Respectfully submitted,

  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK ALEX LTD.  
200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500

Customer No. 26568